Recent Developments in the Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence of Fullerenes
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Recent developments in fundamental aspects and applications of the thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) displayed by fullerenes are presented. It is shown that from the analysis of steady-state data, time-resolved data, or a combination of both, it is possible to determine several important photophysical parameters. Outstanding temperature and oxygen sensors based on the TADF effect exhibited by fullerene C70 are also briefly discussed.

Introduction

The discovery of fullerenes in 1985 (1) and their production in macroscopic amounts after 1990 (2) opened a new field of research. Applications of fullerenes in areas like energy, materials, biopharmaceuticals, optics, and electronics, started to appear in the last years. The most common fullerenes are C60 and C70, three-dimensional carbon structures that can be viewed either as large carbon molecules or as tiny nanoparticles with well defined composition and shape. Their photophysical and photochemical properties result from the large number of delocalized pi electrons and also from the high symmetry and curvature of the structures. The photophysics of fullerenes has been the subject of considerable investigation (3–5). One of the most characteristic and (to us) interesting photophysical properties of C60, C70, and derivatives, first observed in our group, is a second mechanism for fluorescence, which is called thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF). This phenomenon that occurs in a few fluorescent molecules is usually quite weak, however in the case of fullerenes it is very strong, especially for C70. Herein, we briefly review recent developments in fundamental aspects and applications of the TADF of fullerenes.

Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence: Basic Aspects

Molecular fluorescence can take place by two different unimolecular mechanisms: Prompt fluorescence (PF) and thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) (6,7). In the PF mechanism, emission occurs after S_n ← S_0 absorption and excited state relaxation to S_1. The TADF mechanism takes place via the triplet manifold: After excitation and once attained S_1, intersystem crossing (ISC) to the triplet manifold (T_1 or a higher triplet) occurs, followed by a second ISC from T_1 back to S_1, and by fluorescence emission proper. The cycle S_1 → T_1 → S_1 may repeat itself a number of times before fluorescence finally takes place. TADF is significant only when the quantum yield of triplet formation (Φ_T) and the quantum yield of singlet formation (Φ_S) are both high (8). This in turn implies a small energy gap between S_1 and T_1 (ΔE_ST), a long T_1 lifetime, and not too low a temperature (8). For a given fluorophore, TADF is usually much weaker than its PF. Although known for many years, TADF continues to be a relatively rare phenomenon (9).
The remarkable photophysical properties of fullerene C\(_{70}\), specifically the \(\Phi_T\) very close to one (10), the small \(\Delta E_{ST}\) gap (11) and the long intrinsic phosphorescence lifetime (12), led to the discovery of an exceptionally strong TADF in this molecule (8). C\(_{60}\) (13) and some C\(_{60}\) derivatives (14,15), as well as one C\(_{70}\) derivative (16), also exhibit TADF, but weaker than that of C\(_{70}\).

The simplest model for thermally activated delayed fluorescence in the condensed phases is a three-state system that can be represented by the following kinetic scheme,

![Scheme 1. Kinetic scheme for TADF](image)

where \(I_{exc}\) is the excitation intensity, \(k_F\) and \(k_P\) are the radiative rate constants for fluorescence and phosphorescence, respectively, \(k_G^S\) and \(k_G^T\) are the nonradiative rate constants for deactivation to the ground state (internal conversion from S\(_1\) and intersystem crossing from T\(_1\), respectively), and \(k_{ISC}^S\) and \(k_{ISC}^T\) are the intersystem crossing rate constants for singlet-to-triplet and triplet-to-singlet conversion, respectively. Owing to the relative energies of S\(_1\) and T\(_1\), the triplet-to-singlet ISC rate constant always corresponds to an activated process that is strongly temperature dependent (6,8,17):

\[
k_{ISC}^T = A \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{ST}}{RT}\right)
\]  

[1]

For strong TADF to occur, the following inequalities need to be met: \(k_{ISC}^S\gg k_F^G+k_{ISC}^G\) and \(k_{ISC}^T\gg k_P^G+k_{ISC}^G\). In most cases it is also observed that \(k_{ISC}^S\gg k_{ISC}^T\) and \(k_G^T\gg k_P\).

The time-evolution of the S\(_1\) and T\(_1\) populations is given by the following coupled equations (18), where for simplicity the square brackets representing the concentrations are omitted:

\[
S_1(t) = I_{exc}(t) \otimes \exp\left(-t/\tau_F\right) + k_{ISC}^T T_1(t) \otimes \exp\left(-t/\tau_F\right)
\]  

[2]

\[
T_1(t) = k_{ISC}^S S_1(t) \otimes \exp\left(-t/\tau_P\right)
\]  

[3]

where \(\otimes\) stands for the convolution between two functions, \(f \otimes g = \int_0^t f(u) g(t-u) du\), \(\tau_F = 1/(k_F + k_G^S + k_{ISC}^S)\) is the (prompt) fluorescence lifetime, and \(\tau_P = 1/(k_P + k_G^T + k_{ISC}^T)\) is called here the phosphorescence lifetime. These two lifetimes only have direct experimental meaning in the absence of reversibility, otherwise fluorescence and phosphorescence no longer have single exponential decays, as will be discussed below.
The low temperature phosphorescence lifetime is \( \tau_p^0 = 1/(k_p + k^T_G) \). For rigid molecules, the temperature dependence of \( k^T_G \) is mainly dictated by external effects, i.e., interactions with the solvent and other solutes present, e.g., oxygen and impurities, and therefore \( k^T_G \) is expected to change moderately with temperature in a deoxygenated and photochemically inert solid medium (19).

Scheme 1 is isomorphous to the monomer-excimer scheme, and has therefore the same general solution. This solution can be obtained by insertion of Eq. (3) into Eq. (2),

\[
S_1(t) = I_{exc}(t) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) + k^S_{ISC} k^T_{ISC} S_1(t) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \quad [4]
\]

and then by repeated substitution of the left hand side on the right hand side (17),

\[
S_1(t) = I_{exc}(t) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) + k^S_{ISC} k^T_{ISC} I_{exc}(t) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) +
\]

\[
+ \left( k^S_{ISC} k^T_{ISC} \right)^2 I_{exc}(t) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) \exp\left( -t/\tau_p \right) + ......
\]

hence the first term for the singlet decay can be associated with prompt fluorescence (zero \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow S_1 \) cycles), and the remaining terms with delayed fluorescence, the \( n \)th term resulting from \( n-1 \) \( S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow S_1 \) cycles. Analogous results can be obtained for the triplet decay. The singlet decay, Eq. (5), simplifies into a sum of two exponentials of time, and the triplet decay into a difference of the same two exponentials (20):

\[
S_1(t) = \frac{S_1(0)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left[ (\lambda_2 - X) \exp(-\lambda_1 t) + (X - \lambda_1) \exp(-\lambda_2 t) \right] \quad [6]
\]

\[
T_1(t) = k^S_{ISC} S_1(0) \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left[ \exp(-\lambda_1 t) - \exp(-\lambda_2 t) \right] \quad [7]
\]

where

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X + Y \mp \sqrt{(Y - X)^2 + 4 k^S_{ISC} k^T_{ISC}} \right) \quad [8]
\]

with

\[
X = \frac{1}{\tau_f} \quad [9]
\]

\[
Y = \frac{1}{\tau_p^0} + k^T_{ISC} \quad [10]
\]

When inter-conversion between the singlet and triplet emissive states occurs many times before photon emission or nonradiative decay can take place, a fast pre-equilibrium
between $S_1$ and $T_1$ is established, and for sufficiently long times both $S_1$ and $T_1$ decay with a common rate constant given by (21)

$$k = \frac{1}{\tau_{DF}} = k_G^T + (1 - \Phi_T) k_{ISC}^T$$  \[11\]

where $\Phi_T$ is the quantum yield of triplet formation, $\Phi_T = k_{ISC}^S / (k_F + k_G^S + k_{ISC}^S)$, and $\tau_{DF}$ is the delayed fluorescence (and phosphorescence) lifetime.

The fluorescence quantum yield is given by

$$\Phi_F = \Phi_{PF} + \Phi_{DF}$$  \[12\]

where the quantum yields for prompt $\Phi_{PF}$ and delayed $\Phi_{DF}$ fluorescence obey the following relation (8)

$$\Phi_{DF} = \frac{I_{DF}}{I_{PF}} = \frac{1}{\Phi_{PF}} - 1 = \frac{1}{\Phi_s \Phi_T} - 1$$  \[13\]

and the quantum yield of singlet formation is defined by

$$\Phi_s = \frac{k^T_{ISC}}{k_F + k^S_G + k^T_{ISC}}$$  \[14\]

For strong TADF to occur the cycle $S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow S_1$ must repeat a number of times before photon emission or nonradiative decay can take place.

The average number of cycles $\bar{n}$ is given by

$$\bar{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \ p_n = \frac{\Phi_T \Phi_s}{1 - \Phi_T \Phi_s} = \frac{1}{\Phi_T \Phi_s - 1} = \frac{1}{\Phi_T \Phi_s \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_{ISC}^s \tau_p^0}ight)}$$  \[15\]

Comparison of Eqs. (15) and (13) gives immediately

$$\frac{\Phi_{DF}}{\Phi_{PF}} = \frac{I_{DF}}{I_{PF}} = \bar{n}$$  \[16\]

and, using Eq. (12),

$$\frac{\Phi_F}{\Phi_{PF}} = \frac{I_F}{I_{PF}} = 1 + \bar{n}$$  \[17\]

hence the increase in fluorescence intensity owing to TADF is a direct measure of the average number of $S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow S_1$ cycles performed. This result is easy to understand, as each return from $T_1$ to $S_1$ brings a new opportunity for fluorescence emission.
In the absence of reversibility, \( \bar{n} = 0 \). On the other hand, for the fastest possible excited state equilibration \( (k_{\text{ISC}}^T \to A, \Phi_S = 1) \) one has

\[
\bar{n} = \frac{1}{\Phi_T - 1} \tag{18}
\]

Therefore, the maximum possible fluorescence intensification factor, Eq. (17), is \( 1/(1-\Phi_T) \).

Using the following set of data, obtained for fullerene \( C_{70} \) dispersed in polystyrene \( (17,22,23) \): \( \Phi_T = 0.99, \tau_f = 630 \text{ ps}, \tau_p^0 = 28 \text{ ms}, A = 8 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}, \Delta E_{\text{ST}} = 29 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \), the maximum average number of cycles is estimated to be 99, and the maximum fluorescence intensification factor to be 100.

Several methods of TADF data analysis exist. The classical one, due to Parker (6), combines steady-state delayed fluorescence and phosphorescence intensities for the determination of \( \Delta E_{\text{ST}} \). This method was successfully applied to \( C_{70} \) (8). Nevertheless, in many cases it is not possible or convenient to measure the phosphorescence, and it is precisely in these cases that a non-spectroscopic method for the estimation of \( \Delta E_{\text{ST}} \) becomes valuable. Furthermore, photophysical parameters other than \( \Delta E_{\text{ST}} \) are of interest and can be extracted from experimental TADF data by other methods.

From the steady-state data, and for the purpose of curve fitting, Eq. (14) can be conveniently rewritten as (8)

\[
\ln \left[ \frac{I_{\text{PF}}}{I_{\text{DF}}} - \left( \frac{1}{\Phi} - 1 \right) \right] = \ln \left[ \frac{1}{\Phi} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^T} - 1 \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta E_{\text{ST}}}{RT} \tag{19}
\]

where

\[
\Phi^T = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{A\tau_p^0}} \tag{20}
\]

and from a fit to steady-state data arranged in the above form (8) it is possible to recover \( \Delta E_{\text{ST}} \), \( \Phi_T \), and \( \Phi^T \), assuming that \( \Phi^T \) is temperature independent. Alternatively, a non-linear curve fitting can also be carried out.

Concerning the time-resolved data, the time constant for the TADF lifetime is given by (17)

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\tau_p^0} + k_{\text{ISC}}^T (1 - \Phi_T) \tag{21}
\]

Using Eq. (1), Eq. (21) becomes

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\tau_p^0} + B \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta E_{\text{ST}}}{RT} \right) \tag{22}
\]
where \( B = (1 - \Phi_T)A \). From a nonlinear fit to the temperature dependence of the fluorescence long component (delayed fluorescence lifetime) using Eq. (22), and assuming that \( \tau_p^0 \) is temperature independent, it is possible to recover \( \Delta E_{ST} \), \( B \) and \( \tau_p^0 \) from time-resolved measurements. Nevertheless, and owing to parameter correlation, it is preferable to fix \( \Delta E_{ST} \) at the steady-state value (obtained with Eq. (19)). In this way, \( A \) and \( \tau_p^0 \) can be extracted from the temperature dependence of the delayed fluorescence lifetime (17). An alternative procedure is to rewrite Eq. (22) as

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\tau_p^0} \right) = \ln B - \frac{\Delta E_{ST}}{RT}
\]  

[23]

and to search for the value of \( \tau_p^0 \) that gives the best straight line.

A new method of analysis combines steady-state and time-resolved (delayed fluorescence) data in the same plot (17)

\[
\tau_{DF} = \tau_p^0 - \left( \frac{1}{\Phi_T} - 1 \right) \frac{\tau_p^0 I_{DF}}{I_{PF}}
\]  

[24]

This linear plot yields \( \Phi_T \) and \( \tau_p^0 \), assuming \( \tau_p^0 \) to be temperature independent. If \( \tau_p^0 \) is already known, \( \Phi_T \) can be directly obtained from Eq. (24).

In conclusion, from steady-state and time-resolved data, it is in principle possible to obtain \( \Phi_T, A, \Delta E_{ST} \), and \( \tau_p^0 \) using several methods.

**Sensing Applications**

Optical chemical sensors allow the continuous recording of the concentration of chemical species (like \( O_2 \), \( CO_2 \), or several ions) and physical parameters (pressure, temperature, etc.) and therefore have a wide range of applications. Among the many optical methods which are employed for sensing, fluorescence has attracted special attention because it is highly sensitive and versatile.

In fluorescence, the sample can be both excited and measured optically. Therefore, fluorescence-based sensors, not requiring contact with the medium during measurement, are advantageous compared to contact sensors in applications where electromagnetic noise is strong or it is physically difficult to connect a wire. Further advantages of the molecular fluorescence sensors are the very fast response, the reversibility and the space resolution that can go from the macroscale (fluorescent paints) down to the nanoscale (fluorescence microscopy). These properties also overcome the limitations of the electrochemical sensors (difficult to miniaturize, invasive technique and limited to discrete points).

**Temperature Measurement**

There are several temperature sensors based on molecular optical properties, namely luminescence. The use of fiber optics in conjugation with phosphors, whose
luminescence lifetime changes with temperature, is a well established method (24). More recently, several studies have been devoted to fluorescence molecular thermometry.

There is presently a need for optical sensors covering a wide temperature range, say from 100 ºC to 250 ºC. The common luminescence temperature sensors used currently are based on metallic complexes (Ru, Pt, Pd, etc.) whose intensity almost invariably decreases with a temperature increase owing to thermally-activated quenching processes, with working range temperatures below 100 ºC. The high thermal stability and the unique photophysical properties of fullerenes make these molecules well placed to fulfil this need.

The discovery (8) of TADF in fullerene C70 was the first step for the development of temperature sensors based on the delayed fluorescence of fullerenes. The study was carried out in a degassed solution of liquid paraffin. The intensity of the non degassed solution is independent of temperature, and is entirely due to prompt fluorescence. The rise with temperature observed in the degassed solutions results from the increasing contribution of delayed fluorescence to the total intensity. The delayed fluorescence obtained at 70 ºC in degassed medium is 50 times stronger than the PF.

The reversibility of the C70/paraffin system was also evaluated. Up to 70 ºC, the system shows total reversibility; however for higher temperatures the reversibility is lost. Another drawback is the liquid nature of the system. For these reasons, we developed a series of polymer films with C70 molecularly dispersed in them (22,23).

To study the influence of the polymer matrix structure on the photophysics and TADF of C70, three polymers were selected: Polystyrene (PS), poly(tert-butyl methacrylate) (PtBMA), and poly(1-vinylnaphthalene) (P1VN). The films were prepared by evaporating a toluene solution of C70 and polymer on a quartz plate. After film formation and drying, the plates were placed in a quartz cell that was degassed at room temperature and afterwards sealed. All the films exhibited absorption spectra similar to that of C70 in toluene (for PS and P1VN) or methylcyclohexane (for PtBMA). These results are in agreement with a molecular dispersion of C70 in the polymeric films.

Without degassing, the fluorescence intensity of C70/PS films is temperature-independent. After degassing, a 22-fold enhancement of the room-temperature fluorescence was observed. This enhancement is a consequence of the additional contribution of delayed fluorescence (DF) to the overall emission. Heating of the sample to 100 ºC (a temperature at which the DF is 70 times higher than the PF) shows that the fluorescence of C70 has a strong temperature dependence. The C70/PS film exhibits full reversibility and fluorescence intensity cycles without hysteresis. The results exhibited a high degree of reproducibility.

Identical temperature cycles were carried out for the C70/P1VN and C70/PtBMA films. Responses similar to that of the C70/PS film were observed. The films exhibit very good reversibility in the thermal cycles and high reproducibility. The maximum $I_{DF}/I_{PF}$ value was obtained at 100 ºC with the C70/PtBMA system.

The temperature sensitivity of fluorescence intensity was also calculated, and can be defined either as the variation of the fluorescence quantum yield with temperature, which is the absolute sensitivity $S_A$ (Eq. (25)), or as the relative variation of the fluorescence quantum yield with temperature, which is the relative sensitivity $S_R$ (Eq. (26)).

$$S_A = \frac{d\Phi_F}{dT}$$  \hspace{1cm} [25]
We will use the relative sensitivity as it directly reflects the relative variation of the fluorescence intensity. The C\textsubscript{70}/polymer systems have some of the highest temperature sensitivities known over a broad temperature range (25). In order to define a useful working range, a minimum value of 0.5 % K\textsuperscript{-1} for $S_R$ is assumed. With this value, the lower temperature limit is -80 °C for all polymers. At the other end of the scale, the C\textsubscript{70}/PtBMA system displays the highest high-temperature limit (140 °C), whereas for the other two polymers the upper limit is 110 °C.

The C\textsubscript{70}-based luminescence thermometer is a new development in the molecular thermometry field owing to the possibility of using a highly sensitive probe that covers not only both the low temperature and the physiological temperature ranges, but that can also be used for temperatures well above 100 °C.

### Oxygen Sensing

A variety of devices and sensors based on molecular optical properties has been developed for the measurement of molecular oxygen. Many optical oxygen sensors are composed of organic dyes, transition metal complexes and metalloporphyrins immobilized in oxygen permeable materials (26). There is still a need for optical sensors that can respond to very low levels of oxygen. The TADF effect in the fullerenes is very sensitive to the presence of oxygen (8), leading to a very efficient quenching of the intensity and lifetime of TADF. Due to this ultra sensitivity to oxygen, fullerenes are useful for sensing oxygen in low concentrations.

In a recent paper, fullerene C\textsubscript{70} was embedded in two highly permeable polymer membranes, an organosilica, and an ethyl cellulose and used as optical sensor for trace amounts of oxygen with detection limits in the ppb range (27).

The highest $O_2$ permeabilities are displayed by silica-based polymers. But in all reports of sol–gels doped with unfunctionalized fullerenes, the fullerene was partially aggregated owing to formation of small clusters (28). These aggregates show largely reduced fluorescence intensities and lifetimes as a result of self-quenching. We have been able to incorporate C\textsubscript{70} into an organically modified silica without significant aggregation by using a monomer where one alkoxy group is replaced by a phenyl ring (28). Organosilicas (OS) are less polar and thus more compatible with fullerenes. Ethyl cellulose 49% (EC) also is a highly permeable matrix for oxygen sensing. C\textsubscript{70} is compatible with this matrix.

The sensitivity to oxygen was investigated by time-domain fluorescence lifetime imaging. The DF lifetimes exceed 20 ms in the absence of oxygen at room temperature and below, and result in an extreme sensitivity to oxygen. The response is instantaneous (<0.1 s). The fluorescence is most pronounced at 120 °C, and C\textsubscript{70} still shows DF lifetimes greater than 5 ms. The temperature dependence of the sensitivity is therefore the result of three effects: 1) an increase of $\Phi_{DF}$, 2) a decrease of the DF lifetime, and 3) a higher collision rate of $O_2$. The Stern-Volmer constants depend on temperature in a nonlinear way and both systems display detection limits (defined at 1% quenching) more than one order of magnitude better than state-of-the-art probes.

The response of the matrices is fully reversible over many hundreds of times and showed no detectable degradation after three months of storage at room temperature in the dark on air. In conclusion, we developed an optical oxygen sensor that is especially...
suited for sensing oxygen down to the ppb range and also at high temperatures. The method makes use of the TADF of fullerene C\textsubscript{70} dissolved in appropriate polymers. It enables, for the first time, the optical sensing and imaging of oxygen at the ppbv level, and thus has a large potential (29).

Concluding Remarks

In this paper, recent results obtained by the authors in the field of fullerene photophysics were reviewed with an emphasis on thermally activated delayed fluorescence. It was shown that fullerenes display a strong TADF effect, which can be used to determine several photophysical parameters. This effect also allows the use of fullerenes as temperature and oxygen optical sensors under extreme conditions [high temperatures (> 100 °C) or low oxygen concentration (< 1 ppmv)].

In spite of the work already carried out, knowledge of the photophysics of fullerenes and derivatives is still incomplete, and much remains to be done in this area and in the field of optical sensor systems incorporating fullerenes.
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